

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,903	02/14/2002	Atsuko Koizumi	H-1024	4768
7590 05/06/2005		EXAMINER		
Mattingly, Stanger & Malur, P.C. 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 370			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2161	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/073,903	KOIZUMI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marc R Filipczyk	2161			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 February 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) □ Claim(s) 7-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2002</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is $\alpha$	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		□				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	_	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## Response to Amendment

This action is responsive to Applicant's response filed on February 3, 2005 wherein claims 1-6 are cancelled and newly submitted claims 7-10 are pending.

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. amendments, 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth whether the invention is within the technological arts.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a method claim to pass muster, the recited method must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,903 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

for display.

In the present case, independent claim 7 only recites an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely extracting words and inserting the words in a template do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute an idea of how to select words

Since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claim 7 and claims 8 and 9 which depend from claim 7, are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 7 and 10, the segment, "replaceable section" is indefinite. It is not clear how the claimed slot is a replaceable section. Further, the meets and bounds of replaceable are not known.

Regarding claims 8 and 9 depend from claim 7 and are therefore rejected on the same merits as claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2161

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Satoh (U.S. Patent No. 5,774,671).

Regarding claims 7 and 10, Satoh discloses a method and system of customizing a dictionary used for speech recognition, comprising the steps of: (fig. 1)

Extracting user-oriented words from a set of registered user information (fig. 9, S31);

Inserting at least one of said user-oriented words in a slot of a sentence template (fig. 9, S34) and storing said sentence template provided with said at least one of said user-oriented words (fig. 9, S35), wherein said slot of said sentence template is a replaceable section (fig. 1, system 10; *Dabatebase may be updated or deleted*); and

Outputting said stored sentence template for the use of speech recognition for said user (fig. 1, 30).

(Note: the output data maybe used for any user desired application)

Regarding claim 8, Satoh discloses the set of registered user information is generated based on inputted answers to a questionnaire for the user (figures 4A-5C).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claim 9, Satoh discloses the sentence template include slot including a category of words that can be inserted in said slot, and said at least one of said user-oriented words is inserted in said slot according to said slot information (fig. 5A).

Page 5

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 3, 2005 are moot because the previously submitted claims 1-6 have been cancelled and the new submitted claims 7-10 require a new search and raise new issues of record.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,903

Art Unit: 2161

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is (571) 272-4019.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF

April 20, 2005

FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6